

**GOA HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION
PANAJI – GOA**

**ANNUAL REPORT
2013 – 2014**

Introduction

This is the third Annual Report of the State Human Rights Commission for the year 2013-2014. The first Annual Report covering the period from 4th March, 2011 to 31st March, 2012 dated 16/04/2013 was submitted to the State Government on 22/05/2013. The second Annual Report for the period from 1st April, 2012 to 31st March, 2013 was submitted to the State Government on 17/12/2014.

2. The Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993 provides for the constitution of National Human Rights Commission and State Human Rights Commissions in States and Human Rights Courts for better protection of human rights and the matters connected therewith or incidental thereto.

3. Article-21 of the Constitution of India guarantees every citizen right to life which includes right to live with dignity and decency. “Human Rights” means the rights relating to life, liberty, equality and dignity of the individual guaranteed by the Constitution or embodied in the International Covenants and enforceable by Courts in India. Thus, any infringement of fundamental rights also amounts to violation of human rights. In absence of State Human Rights Commission in the State of Goa, the persons whose human rights were allegedly violated by the public functionaries were constrained to approach before the normal court of law which involves long and cumbersome procedure apart from being a costly affair. The establishment of State Human Rights Commission has fulfilled the aspirations of the people of Goa who, now, have an easy access to justice to ventilate their grievances against public servants in the matter of violation of human rights.

4. Initially, the Commission did not have proper infrastructure and also suitable premises for smooth functioning of the Commission. During the period from 1st April, 2012 to 31st March, 2013, this Commission made strenuous efforts for allotment of suitable premises befitting its status. The Government of Goa provided office premises to the Commission by

communication dated 29/11/2012 addressed to the Principal Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Altinho, Panaji and an area of 609.39sq.mts. was allotted to this Commission in the Old Education Department Building at Panaji. The State of Goa has also provided adequate infrastructure for smooth functioning of the Commission.

5. During the period of 2012-2013 the Commission took suomotu cognizance in fourteen (14) cases out of which ten (10) cases were disposed off. The Commission received seventy three (73) complaints out of which forty seven (47) complaints were disposed off. During this year the Commission took suomotu cognizance in twenty three (23) cases out of which seventeen (17) cases have been disposed off. The Commission received two hundred ninety two (292) complaints out of which one hundred thirty nine (139) complaints have been disposed off. Thus it can be seen that the rate of filing of the complaints and also taking suomotu cognizance of the matters are increasing day by day on account of effective functioning of this Commission.

6. During this period the staff members brought on deputation are indicated below:

Sr. No.	Name of Post	Pay Scale
1.	Section Officer	9300-34800+GP 4600
2.	Legal Assistant	9300-34800+GP 4200
3.	Accountant	9300-34800+GP 4200
4.	Stenographer Grade-I	9300-34800+GP 4200
5.	Sr. Assistant	9300-34800+GP 4200
6.	Stenographer Grade-II	5200-20200+ GP 2400
7.	Assistant	5200-20200+GP 2400
8.	Jr. Assistant	5200-20200+GP 1900
9.	Bailiff	5200-20200+GP 1800
10.	Peon	4440-7440+GP 1300
11.	Drivers	5200-20200+GP 1900

7. The strength of the staff members are indicated below:

Sr. No.	Name of Post	Pay Scale	No. of Posts created.
1.	Section Officer	9300-34800+GP 4600	1
2.	Legal Assistant	9300-34800+GP 4200	1
3.	Accountant	9300-34800+GP 4200	1

4.	Stenographer Grade-I	9300-34800+GP 4200	3
5.	Sr. Assistant	9300-34800+GP 4200	1
6.	Stenographer Grade-II	5200-20200+ GP 2400	1
7.	Assistant	5200-20200+GP 2400	1
8.	Jr. Assistant	5200-20200+GP 1900	2
9.	Bailiff	5200-20200+GP 1800	1
10.	Peon	4440-7440+GP 1300	3
11.	Drivers	5200-20200+GP 1900	4

8. The Commission purchased one Fax Machine, one Computer, Sony camera, Air conditioner, Computer Printer, Xerox Machine and Refrigerator to meet the requirement of the Commission.

9. **Constitution of Commission**

The Goa Human Rights Commission was constituted in the month of March, 2011 to exercise the powers conferred upon and to perform the functions assigned to the State Commission under Chapter IV of The Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993. Section 21 (2) of the said Act lays down that the State Human Rights Commission shall consists of

- (a) A Chairperson who has been a Chief Justice of a High Court.
- (b) One Member who is, or has been, a Judge of a High Court or District Judge in the State with a minimum of seven years' experience as District Judge.
- (c) One Member to be appointed from amongst persons having knowledge of, or practical experience in, matters relating to human rights.

The constitution of the Commission during this period was as under:

- (i) Justice Prafulla Kumar Misra, Chairperson (Former Chief Justice of Patna High Court).
- (ii) Shri A. D. Salkar, Member (Former District Judge of State of Goa).
- (iii) Shri J. A. Keny, Member (Former Member of the Goa Public Service Commission).

Section 27 of the said Act mandates that the Government shall make available an Officer not below the rank of a Secretary to the State Government who shall be the Secretary of the State Commission and such

Police and Investigative Staff under an Officer not below the rank of Inspector General of Police and such other Officers and Staff as may be necessary for efficient performance of the functions of the State Commission.

10. During this period, Shri M. B. Kumthekar, Senior Scale Officer was posted on part-time basis, who was transferred on 24/05/2013. Thereafter, Shri Z. U. Siddiqui, IAS took charge as Secretary of the Commission on 10/06/2013.

In spite of several reminders, the State Government did not provide Police Officer of the rank of Inspector General of Police as envisaged in section 27(1) (b) of the Act. However, the Commission carried out the work of investigating into the complaints with assistance of Police Investigation Team, headed by Police Officer of the rank of Police Inspector.

11. **Functions of State Commission.**

Section-12 read with Section 29 of The Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993 provides for the functions of the State Human Rights

Commission which inter alia includes the following functions:-

- (a) *To inquire suomotu or on a petition presented to it by the victim of any person on its behalf or on directions or on order of any Court into the complaint of:*
 - (i) *Violation of human rights or abetment thereof; or*
 - (ii) *Negligence in the prevention of such violation, by a public servant;*
- (b) *To intervene in any proceeding involving any allegation of violation of human rights pending before a court with the approval of such court;*
- (c) *To visit notwithstanding anything contained in any other law for the time being in force, any jail or other institution under the control of State Government, where persons are detained or lodged for purpose of treatment, reformation or protection, for the study of living conditions of inmates thereof and make recommendation thereon to the Government;*

- (d) To review the safeguards provided by or under the Constitution or any law for the time being in force for the protection of human rights and recommend measures for their effective implementation;*
- (e) To review the factors, including acts of terrorism, that inhibit the enjoyment of human rights and recommend appropriate remedial measures;*
- (f) To spread human rights literacy among various sections of society and promote awareness of the safeguards available for the protection of these rights through publications, media, seminars and other available means;*
- (g) To encourage the efforts of non-governmental organisations and institutions working in the field of human rights;*
- (h) To such other functions as it may consider necessary for the promotion of human rights.*

12. Procedure adopted by the State Commission

The Goa Human Rights Commission has notified its own Regulation namely Goa Human Rights Commission (Procedure) Regulations, 2011, which is published under Section 10 and Section 29 of The Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993. One of the most important functions of the State Commission is to inquire suomotu or on a petition presented to it by the victim into the complaint of violation of human rights by a public servant. The State Commission has devised a simple procedure for receiving and dealing with complaints. A complaint can be filed either in person or through post or via e-mail. The State Commission does not charge any fee from the people for filing complaints.

13. Powers of the Commission

The State Commission while inquiring into the complaints under the Act have powers of civil court trying a suit under the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, and in particular in respect of the following matters, namely:

- (a) Summoning and enforcing the attendance of witnesses and examining them on oath;*
- (b) Discovery and production of any document;*

- (c) Receiving evidence on affidavits;*
- (d) Requisitioning any public record or copy thereof from any court or office;*
- (e) Issuing commissions for the examinations of witnesses or documents;*
- (f) Any other matter which may be prescribed.*

14. Complaints not ordinarily entertainable.

As per Regulation 9 of the Goa Human Rights Commission (Procedure), Regulations, 2011, the Commission may not entertain complaints:-

- (a) which are vague or anonymous or pseudonymous or trivial in or frivolous in nature;*
- (b) which are pending before any other Commission;*
- (c) which raise dispute of civil nature, such as property rights or contractual obligations;*
- (d) which relate to service matters or industrial disputes;*
- (e) which are not against any public servant;*
- (f) which do not make out any specific violation of human rights;*
- (g) which are covered by a judicial verdict or decision of the Commission;*
- (h) which are outside the purview of the Commission.*

15. Grants by State Government

As per Section 33 of The Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993, the State Government pay to the State Commission by way of grants and the State Commission may spend such sums as it thinks fit for performing the functions under Chapter V. However, the Goa Human Rights Commission preferred to seek the provision in the Budget and powers are vested in the Secretary to the Commission who has also been delegated with powers of Head of Department to incur the expenditure on the affairs of the Commission and all the expenditure incurred are being scrutinized by the Directorate of Accounts as pre audit and payment effected. This arrangement was preferred by the Commission at par with the Goa Public Service Commission. During this period a Budget provision of Rs.200.00 lakhs (Rupees two hundred lakhs only) was made. The Commission spent an amount of Rs.151.72 (Rupees one hundred fifty one lakhs and seventy two thousand only).

The Commission is also required to prepare an annual statement of accounts in such form as may be prescribed by the State Government in consultation with Comptroller and Auditor General of India. However, as stated earlier, all the expenditure made, by the Commission out of the provision in the Budget Estimates of 2012-13, through the Directorate of Accounts as pre audit.

Hence, it is not necessary to prepare its Annual Statement of Accounts and submit the same to the State Government as per provisions in The Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993.

16. Cases in which suo motu cognizance was taken in the year 2012-2013 by this Commission and which have been disposed off during this year by making recommendations as indicated below:

Proceeding No.20/2012:

This case has been disposed off on 01/10/2013 by making following recommendations:

1. *The State Government shall expedite the process of filling up of the post of Director of IPHB without any further delay.*
2. *All other posts which are lying vacant shall also be filled up as expeditiously as possible.*
3. *All the basic infrastructure should be made available to the Institute to promote the welfare of the inmates.*
4. *A Day Care Centre may be established on priority basis having facilities for physical exercises, yoga, meditation, indoor games, library, etc.*
5. *The patients who are fully recovered should not be kept at IPHB along with other inmates but they should be shifted immediately to proper place in safe environment.*
6. *The large area of land in possession of IPHB should be utilised for recreational activities of the inmates of the Institute.*
7. *Various types of trees may be planted to make the Institute environmental friendly.*

8. *It is expected that sincere efforts should be made to maintain cleanliness throughout the year.*

Proceeding No.20/2013:

This case has been disposed off on 29/09/2013 by making following recommendations:

1. *Since the number of passengers including women passengers is increasing, the possibility of introducing more buses should be considered.*
2. *State Government may endeavour to provide buses exclusively for women during peak hours of the day.*
3. *The present system of reservation of seats for ladies should be strictly implemented by the Police and Transport Department.*
4. *The possibility of increasing the reserved seats for women and senior citizens should be considered.*
5. *The conductor of the buses should ensure that the seats reserved for ladies are not occupied by male passengers.*
6. *The conductors of the buses should wear prescribed uniform and should display photo identity cards.*
7. *Prompt action should be initiated by the police and the Transport Department in case of complaints by women passengers against the offenders.*
8. *Overcrowding in the buses should be avoided at any cost.*
9. *CCTV may be installed in the buses.*
10. *Women helpline numbers should be prominently displayed on the buses.*
11. *Stickers prepared by the Transport Department regarding the rights and duties of the passengers and telephone numbers should be*

displayed and the violations by operators should be viewed seriously.

17. The other important cases based on complaints for the period from 01/04/2013 to 31/03/2014 which have been disposed off by making recommendations indicated below:

Proceeding No.29/2012:

This case has been disposed off on 04/06/2013 by making following recommendation:

It was recommended that the Chief Secretary, Government of Goa/Respondent No.1 should pay a sum of Rs.1,500/- (Rupees one thousand five hundred only) as compensation to the Complainant No.1. The compensation should be paid within a period of one month from the date of receipt of recommendation by Respondent No.1. It was made clear that it would be open to the Respondent No.1 to recover such amount from defaulting officials belonging to the Department of Town & Country Planning by initiating appropriate proceedings against each errant Officers.

18. Few important cases in which the Commission took SuoMotucognizance during the period from 01/04/2013 to 31/03/2014:

Proceeding No.47/2013:

On the basis of media report which appeared on local newspaper "Herald" dated 07/04/2013 under the caption "brazen dumping of construction debris at Mercedes" this Commission took suomotu cognizance of the matter and issued notices to the 1) Mr. B. G. Patil of M/s Patil Transport, Wadi, Mercedes, Ilhas – Goa 2) TheSecretary, Village Panchayat of Mercedes, Mercedes - Goa, 3) the Police Inspector, Panaji Police Station, Panaji – Goa, 4) the Chief Town Planner, Town & Country Planning Department, Dempo Tower, Patto, Panaji – Goa, 5) the Secretary, Town & Country Planning, Government of Goa, Secretariat, Porvorim – Goa and 6) the State of Goa through its Chief Secretary, Secretariat, Porvorim – Goa. Inquiry is in progress.

Proceeding No.74/2013:

On the basis of media report which appeared on local newspaper "Herald" dated 01/06/2013 under the caption "Food poisoning hits Jailbirds", this Commission issued notices to 1) The Superintendent of Jail,

Central Jail, Aguada – Goa, 2) The Superintendent of Jail, Judicial Lock-Up, Mapusa - Goa, 3) The Inspector General of Prisons, Panaji – Goa, 4) The Dean, Goa Medical College, Bambolim – Goa, 5) Medical Superintendent, District Hospital (Asilo Hospital), Mapusa – Goa, 6) The Secretary (Home), Secretariat, Porvorim – Goa and 7) The State of Goa, through the Chief Secretary, Porvorim – Goa. This case has been disposed off by the Commission on 06/02/2014 by making following recommendations:

- a) *An amount of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees fifty thousand only) shall be paid to the legal heirs of the deceased ShriMahadevGaonkar as compensation by the State of Goa.*
- b) *An amount of Rs. 2,000/- (Rupees two thousand only) shall be paid as compensation to each of the prisoners who were affected on account of contamination of drinking water or contamination of food.*
- c) *The Prison Administration shall take appropriate and timely steps to maintain hygiene to prevent recurrence of such incidents in future.*
- d) *The State Government shall ensure that the Escort Party is made available to the Prison Authority without any delay to meet any emergency situation even after sunset and before sunrise.*

Proceeding No.100/2013:

On the basis of media report which appeared on local newspaper “Herald” dated 28/06/2013 and “Navhind Times” dated 28/06/2013 relating to the incident of alleged food poisoning of the students at MallikarjunVidyalaya High School, Canacona, this Commission issued notices to 1) Chairperson, MallikarjunVidyalaya High School, Canacona – Goa, 2) Director of Education, Panaji – Goa 3) the Secretary, (Education), Secretariat, Porvorim and 4) the State of Goa through the Chief Secretary, Secretariat, Porvorim – Goa. This case has been disposed off by the Commission on 08/01/2014 by making following recommendations:

1. *All the Self-Help Groups/MahilaMandals which are given contracts to supply mid-day meals to the students of Government/Government aided schools should cook the food in clean and tidy kitchen rooms which are duly approved by Directorate of Food and Drugs Administration, Panaji – Goa. They should maintain cleanliness at the place of cooking and the food should be cooked in a hygienic atmosphere.*

2. *All the Self-Help Groups/MahilaMandals should supply hygienic and quality meals to the students.*
3. *The food/meals should be tasted by the representative of school management along with representative of Parent/Teachers Association before serving the cooked food to the students.*
4. *The ingredients used to cook the food should be stored in a hygienic and safe place to avoid contamination.*
5. *The Food & Drugs Administration should carry out periodical inspections of cooking places as well as ingredients used for cooking food with the assistance of representative of the Director of Education to ensure that hygienic food is supplied to the students.*
6. *As far as possible the concerned authorities must ensure that only hot cooked food is provided to the children to avoid any contamination in transit.*
7. *The Director of Education who is the overall Controlling and Supervisory Authority should keep strict vigilance over the Self-Help Groups/MahilaMandals while implementing the Mid-day meal scheme to avoid any untoward incidents.*

Proceeding No.134/2013:

On the basis of media report which appeared in the local newspaper “Navhind Times” dated 20/07/2013 under the caption “23 students fall ill after mid-day meal in Usgaon” this Commission issued notices to 1) The Headmaster, St. Joseph High School, Usgaon, Ponda – Goa, 2) Director of Education, Panaji – Goa 3) the Secretary, (Education), Secretariat, Porvorim and 4) the State of Goa through the Chief Secretary, Secretariat, Porvorim – Goa. This case has been disposed off by the Commission on 08/01/2014 by making following recommendations:

1. *All the Self-Help Groups/MahilaMandals which are given contracts to supply mid-day meals to the students of Government/Government aided schools should cook the food in clean and tidy kitchen rooms which are duly approved by Directorate of Food and Drugs Administration, Panaji – Goa. They should maintain cleanliness at the place of cooking and the food should be cooked in a hygienic atmosphere.*

2. *All the Self-Help Groups/MahilaMandals should supply hygienic and quality meals to the students.*
3. *The food/meals should be tasted by the representative of school management along with representative of Parent/Teachers Association before serving the cooked food to the students.*
4. *The ingredients used to cook the food should be stored in a hygienic and safe place to avoid contamination.*
5. *The Food & Drugs Administration should carry out periodical inspections of cooking places as well as ingredients used for cooking food with the assistance of representative of the Director of Education to ensure that hygienic food is supplied to the students.*
6. *As far as possible the concerned authorities must ensure that only hot cooked food is provided to the children to avoid any contamination in transit.*
7. *The Director of Education who is the overall Controlling and Supervisory Authority should keep strict vigilance over the Self-Help Groups/MahilaMandals while implementing the Mid-day meal scheme to avoid any untoward incidents.*

Proceeding No.149/2013:

On the basis of media report which appeared in the local newspaper which appeared in the 'Herald' dated 28/07/2013 under the caption "19 Pernem students fall ill after eating mid-day meal" of Kamleshwar High School, Deulwado, Korgao, Pernem – Goa, this Commisison took suo-motu cognizance of the matter and issued notices to the Headmaster, Kamleshwar High School, Korgao, Pernem – Goa, Director of Education, Panaji – Goa, the Secretary, (Education), Secretariat, Porvorim. This case has been disposed off by the Commission on 08/01/2014 by making following recommendations:

1. *All the Self-Help Groups/MahilaMandals which are given contracts to supply mid-day meals to the students of Government/Government aided schools should cook the food in clean and tidy kitchen rooms which are duly approved by Directorate of Food and Drugs Administration, Panaji – Goa. They should maintain cleanliness at the place of cooking and the food should be cooked in a hygienic atmosphere.*

2. *All the Self-Help Groups/MahilaMandals should supply hygienic and quality meals to the students.*
3. *The food/meals should be tasted by the representative of school management along with representative of Parent/Teachers Association before serving the cooked food to the students.*
4. *The ingredients used to cook the food should be stored in a hygienic and safe place to avoid contamination.*
5. *The Food & Drugs Administration should carry out periodical inspections of cooking places as well as ingredients used for cooking food with the assistance of representative of the Director of Education to ensure that hygienic food is supplied to the students.*
6. *As far as possible the concerned authorities must ensure that only hot cooked food is provided to the children to avoid any contamination in transit.*
7. *The Director of Education who is the overall Controlling and Supervisory Authority should keep strict vigilance over the Self-Help Groups/MahilaMandals while implementing the Mid-day meal scheme to avoid any untoward incidents.*

19. Few other important cases based on complaints, during the period of 2013 – 2014.

Proceeding No.57/2013:

This case is relating to non-payment of pension and terminal benefits after retirement in time. The Complainant has alleged that she was harassed and mentally tortured by bureaucrats working at Education Department and the Head of Institution, St. Anthony's High School, Monte Guirim who are displaying characteristics of egoism. This Commission initially issued notices to the Director of Education, Porvorim – Goa and Director of Accounts, Panaji – Goa. Thereafter, during the pendency of this proceeding notices were also issued to the Headmaster, St. Anthony's High School, Guirim, Bardez – Goa and the Chairman, School Managing Committee, St. Anthony's High School, Guirim, Bardez – Goa. During the pendency of this proceeding, it was brought to the notice of this Commission that the Director of Accounts settled the claim of GPF final payment of the Complainant and also her

pension case has been disposed off on 11/10/2013. The Complainant claimed that there was a delay in settlement of her pension claim and she is entitled for interest. The matter was therefore posted for hearing on the limited question as to whether the Complainant can claim interest before this Commission. The inquiry is in progress.

Proceeding No.83/2013:

This case relates to non-payment of salaries of six ECG Technicians of Goa Medical College & Hospitals, Bambolim for the period from 01/03/2013 to 11/07/2013. Notices were issued to 1) The Dean, Goa Medical College, Bambolim, 2) Secretary (Health), Secretariat, Porvorim and 3) the State of Goa through the Chief Secretary, Secretariat, Porvorim – Goa. The Dean, Goa Medical College took a stand that ECG Technicians were not following the time-table given to them by the Head of Department of Medicines. It was stated that since the employees did not obey the directions given to them, it was decided to take legal action against the erring employees and disciplinary proceedings were contemplated against them and the said employees were placed under suspension. Inquiry is in progress.

Proceeding No.85/2013:

The grievance of the Complainant in this case is that M/s Victoria Engineering is carrying out fabrication work without licence from Margao Municipal Council. It is alleged that for the purpose of fabrication the said M/s Victoria Engineering is using hard grinders, bench grinders, welding machines, drilling machines, cutter, vice, etc throughout the day causing thereby noise pollution and dust pollution. It is also alleged that the noise pollution and dust pollution causes nuisance and disturbs peace in the locality. This Commission issued notices to M/s Victoria Engineering, Margao – Goa 2) Chief Officer, Margao Municipal Council and 3) the Collector & District Magistrate, Margao – Goa. Inquiry is in progress.

Proceeding No.87/2013:

This proceeding relates to a child aged about 12 years. This case has been dealt with by Child Welfare Committee, South Goa. In this case, the Commission has observed that the child was transferred by CWC, South Goa to CWC, Dharwad in a most haphazard manner without taking into consideration the principles of “best interest of the child.” This Commission was of the view that basic human rights of the child were grossly violated. This Commission made following recommendations:

- (i) *The Respondent No.1 should recall its order dated 19/04/2013 and order dated 21/05/2013 in Case No.100/CWC/05.*
- (ii) *Both the Respondents should take immediate steps to re-admit the child in Nirakar Vidyalyaya at Mashem, Canacona so that the education of the child should not be hampered and the school authority should consider this as a special case and re-admit the child by condoning delay or other technical hurdles, if any.*
- (iii) *During the vacation of the school, the child may be kept in Margaret Bosco BalSadan, Bardez- Goa or any other suitable Children's Home for care and protection and not in ApnaGhar as requested by the child.*
- (iv) *Respondent No.1 may make efforts to restore and/or rehabilitate the child by following the relevant provisions contained in the Act and the Rules more particularly Section-39 and Chapter IV of the Act and Chapter IV and V of the Rules.*

Proceeding No.138/2013:

The Complainant in this case has alleged that Panaji Police arrested him on 03/07/2013 on the basis of false complaint from Ms. Jessica Rodrigues without any reason and without conducting any inquiry. Initially the Commission issued notices to Shri Paresh Faldessai, Police Constable/IO, Panaji Police Station, Panaji – Goa, 2) The Police Inspector, Panaji Police Station, Panaji – Goa, 3) The Superintendent of Police, Porvorim Police Station, Porvorim – Goa, and 4) The State of Goa through the Chief Secretary, Porvorim – Goa. Subsequently, Shri S. S. Naik, Police Sub-Inspector, Panaji Police Station was added as Respondent No.5 and notice was issued to him. Inquiry is in progress.

Proceeding No.210/2013:

This complaint has been filed by Goa Government Employees Association on behalf of 6 ECG Technicians of Goa Medical College and Hospitals, Bambolim. It is the grievance of the Complainants that the said employees were not paid Subsistence Allowance although the Suspended Officials have timely submitted their Non-Employment Certificates every month as required under FR53(2). It has been alleged that the said ECG Technicians are put to great hardship and mental tension by non-payment of Subsistence Allowance thereby grossly violating their human rights of living decent and dignified life. This Commission issued notice to the Dean, Goa Medical College & Hospitals, Bambolim – Goa. Inquiry is in progress.

Proceeding No.47/2014:

In this case the Complainant has alleged that one Shri Rajesh Vaigankar along with police personnel and others illegally trespassed the School premises and misbehaved with his wife and outraged her modesty. The Complainant has also alleged that the Police Inspector ShriPareshNaik did not take any action even after filing FIR in respect of the complaint filed by the wife of the Complainant. This Commission issued notices to 1) ShriPareshNaik, Police Inspector, Anjuna Police Station, Anjuna, Bardez – Goa 2) Superintendent of Police (North), Porvorim – Goa and 3) State of Goa through its Chief Secretary, Secretariat, Porvorim – Goa. Inquiry is in progress.

This Annual Report for the year 2013-2014 is presented to the State Government as per Section 28 (1) of The Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993.

Sd/-
(Justice P. K. Misra)
Chairperson

Sd/-
(A. D. Salkar)
Member

Sd/-
(J. A. Keny)
Member

Dated: 17/12/2015

Place: Panaji – Goa